

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of	)	MAIL STOP AF
	)	
Axel Andersson et al.	)	Group Art Unit: 3627
	)	
Application No.: 10/705,936	)	Examiner: Ramsey Refai
	)	
Filed: November 13, 2003	)	Confirmation No.: 6572
	)	
For: METHOD OF TRACKING IN	)	
PRODUCTION IN A PLANT FOR	)	
LIQUID FOODS	)	

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This communication is a full and timely response to the non-final Office Action dated April 15, 2010. Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-12 remain pending, where claims 8 and 10-12 are withdrawn from consideration, and claim 9 was previously cancelled. Reconsideration of the application is requested based on the discussion that follows.

Claims 1 and 3-7 are variously rejected for an alleged lack of novelty and alleged obviousness. In numbered paragraph 3 on page 3 of the Office Action, claims 1 and 3-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Montanari et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,478,990); and in numbered paragraph 10 on page 5 of the Office Action, claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for alleged unpatentability over *Montanari* in view of "Official Notice". Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

In the previous response, Applicants argued that *Montanari* fails to disclose or suggest a method of tracking materials in a plant that produces liquid foods, the